

# MULTI-CRITERIA DECISION MAKING METHODS: A COMPARATIVE STUDY

Edited by

Panos M. Parlos



**kluwer**

the language of science

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                                                                         |              |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| List of Figures .....                                                   | <i>xiii</i>  |
| List of Tables .....                                                    | <i>xix</i>   |
| Foreword .....                                                          | <i>xxiii</i> |
| Preface .....                                                           | <i>xxv</i>   |
| Acknowledgments .....                                                   | <i>xxix</i>  |
| <br>                                                                    |              |
| <b>1 Introduction to Multi-Criteria Decision Making .....</b>           | <b>1</b>     |
| 1.1 Multi-Criteria Decision Making:<br>A General Overview .....         | 1            |
| 1.2 Classification of MCDM Methods .....                                | 3            |
| <br>                                                                    |              |
| <b>2 Multi-Criteria Decision Making Methods .....</b>                   | <b>5</b>     |
| 2.1 Background Information .....                                        | 5            |
| 2.2 Description of Some MCDM Methods .....                              | 5            |
| 2.2.1 The WSM Method .....                                              | 6            |
| 2.2.2 The WPM Method .....                                              | 8            |
| 2.2.3 The AHP Method .....                                              | 9            |
| 2.2.4 The Revised AHP Method .....                                      | 11           |
| 2.2.5 The ELECTRE Method .....                                          | 13           |
| 2.2.6 The TOPSIS Method .....                                           | 18           |
| <br>                                                                    |              |
| <b>3 Quantification of Qualitative Data for<br/>MCDM Problems .....</b> | <b>23</b>    |
| 3.1 Background Information .....                                        | 23           |
| 3.2 Scales for Quantifying Pairwise Comparisons .....                   | 25           |
| 3.2.1 Scales Defined on the Interval $[9, 1/9]$ .....                   | 26           |
| 3.2.2 Exponential Scales .....                                          | 28           |
| 3.2.3 Some Examples of the Use of<br>Exponential Scales .....           | 29           |
| 3.3 Evaluating Different Scales .....                                   | 32           |
| 3.3.1 The Concepts of the RCP and CDP Matrices ..                       | 32           |
| 3.3.2 On The Consistency of CDP Matrices .....                          | 35           |
| 3.3.3 Two Evaluative Criteria .....                                     | 43           |
| 3.4 A Simulation Evaluation of Different Scales .....                   | 44           |
| 3.5 Analysis of the Computational Results .....                         | 50           |
| 3.6 Conclusions .....                                                   | 53           |

|          |                                                                                                        |            |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| <b>4</b> | <b>Deriving Relative Weights from Ratio Comparisons . . . . .</b>                                      | <b>57</b>  |
| 4.1      | Background Information . . . . .                                                                       | 57         |
| 4.2      | The Eigenvalue Approach . . . . .                                                                      | 58         |
| 4.3      | Some Optimization Approaches . . . . .                                                                 | 60         |
| 4.4      | Considering The Human Rationality Factor . . . . .                                                     | 61         |
| 4.5      | First Extensive Numerical Example . . . . .                                                            | 65         |
| 4.6      | Second Extensive Numerical Example . . . . .                                                           | 66         |
| 4.7      | Average Error per Comparison for Sets<br>of Different Size . . . . .                                   | 67         |
| 4.8      | Conclusions . . . . .                                                                                  | 72         |
| <br>     |                                                                                                        |            |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Deriving Relative Weights from Difference Comparisons . . .</b>                                     | <b>73</b>  |
| 5.1      | Background Information . . . . .                                                                       | 73         |
| 5.2      | Pairwise Comparisons of Relative Similarity . . . . .                                                  | 76         |
| 5.2.1    | Quantifying Pairwise Comparisons<br>of Relative Similarity . . . . .                                   | 76         |
| 5.2.2    | Processing Pairwise Comparisons<br>of Relative Similarity . . . . .                                    | 77         |
| 5.2.3    | An Extensive Numerical Example . . . . .                                                               | 79         |
| 5.3      | Conclusions . . . . .                                                                                  | 85         |
| <br>     |                                                                                                        |            |
| <b>6</b> | <b>A Decomposition Approach for Evaluating Relative<br/>Weights Derived from Comparisons . . . . .</b> | <b>87</b>  |
| 6.1      | Background Information . . . . .                                                                       | 87         |
| 6.2      | Problem Description . . . . .                                                                          | 88         |
| 6.3      | Two Solution Approaches . . . . .                                                                      | 91         |
| 6.3.1    | A Simple Approach . . . . .                                                                            | 91         |
| 6.3.2    | A Linear Programming Approach . . . . .                                                                | 92         |
| 6.4      | An Extensive Numerical Example . . . . .                                                               | 95         |
| 6.5      | Some Computational Experiments . . . . .                                                               | 97         |
| 6.6      | Analysis of the Computational Results . . . . .                                                        | 100        |
| 6.7      | Conclusions . . . . .                                                                                  | 112        |
| <br>     |                                                                                                        |            |
| <b>7</b> | <b>Reduction of Pairwise Comparisons Via a<br/>Duality Approach . . . . .</b>                          | <b>115</b> |
| 7.1      | Background Information . . . . .                                                                       | 115        |
| 7.2      | A Duality Approach for Eliciting Comparisons . . . . .                                                 | 116        |
| 7.3      | An Extensive Numerical Example . . . . .                                                               | 120        |
| 7.3.1    | Applying the Primal Approach . . . . .                                                                 | 121        |

|          |                                                                                                         |            |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 7.3.2    | Applying the Dual Approach . . . . .                                                                    | 122        |
| 7.4      | Some Numerical Results for Problems of<br>Different Sizes . . . . .                                     | 124        |
| 7.5      | Conclusions . . . . .                                                                                   | 128        |
| <b>8</b> | <b>A Sensitivity Analysis Approach for MCDM Methods . . . .</b>                                         | <b>131</b> |
| 8.1      | Background Information . . . . .                                                                        | 131        |
| 8.2      | Description of the Two Major Sensitivity<br>Analysis Problems . . . . .                                 | 133        |
| 8.3.     | <b>Problem 1: Determining the Most Critical</b><br>Criterion . . . . .                                  | 135        |
| 8.3.1    | Definitions and Terminology . . . . .                                                                   | 135        |
| 8.3.2    | Some Theoretical Results in Determining<br>the Most Critical Criterion . . . . .                        | 137        |
| 8.3.2.1  | Case (i): Using the WSM or the<br>AHP Method . . . . .                                                  | 137        |
| 8.3.2.2  | An Extensive Numerical Example<br>for the WSM Case . . . . .                                            | 138        |
| 8.3.2.3  | Case (ii): Using the WPM Method . . . . .                                                               | 142        |
| 8.3.2.4  | An Extensive Numerical Example<br>for the WPM Case . . . . .                                            | 143        |
| 8.3.3    | Some Computational Experiments . . . . .                                                                | 145        |
| 8.4      | <b>Problem 2: Determining the Most Critical <math>a_{ij}</math></b><br>Measure of Performance . . . . . | 155        |
| 8.4.1    | Definitions and Terminology . . . . .                                                                   | 155        |
| 8.4.2    | Determining the Threshold<br>Values $\tau'_{i,j,k}$ . . . . .                                           | 157        |
| 8.4.2.1  | Case (i): When Using the WSM<br>or the AHP Method . . . . .                                             | 157        |
| 8.4.2.2  | An Extensive Numerical Example<br>When the WSM or the<br>AHP Method is Used . . . . .                   | 158        |
| 8.4.2.3  | Case (ii): When Using the WPM<br>Method . . . . .                                                       | 161        |
| 8.4.2.4  | An Extensive Numerical Example<br>When the WPM Method is Used . . . .                                   | 161        |
| 8.5      | Conclusions . . . . .                                                                                   | 165        |

|           |                                                                                                                         |            |
|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
|           | <b>Appendix to Chapter 8</b> . . . . .                                                                                  | <b>167</b> |
| 8.6       | Calculation of the $\delta_{1,1,2}$ Quantity When<br>the AHP or the WSM Method is Used . . . . .                        | 167        |
| 8.7       | Calculation of the $\delta_{1,1,2}$ Quantity When<br>the WPM Method is Used . . . . .                                   | 169        |
| 8.8       | Calculation of the $\tau_{3,4,5}$ Quantity When<br>the WSM Method is Used . . . . .                                     | 170        |
| 8.9       | Calculation of the $\tau_{3,4,5}$ Quantity When<br>the AHP Method is Used . . . . .                                     | 171        |
| 8.10      | Calculation of the $\tau_{3,4,5}$ Quantity When<br>the WPM Method is Used . . . . .                                     | 174        |
| <br>      |                                                                                                                         |            |
| <b>9</b>  | <b>Evaluation of Methods for Processing a<br/>Decision Matrix and Some Cases<br/>of Ranking Abnormalities</b> . . . . . | <b>177</b> |
| 9.1       | Background Information . . . . .                                                                                        | 177        |
| 9.2       | Two Evaluative Criteria . . . . .                                                                                       | 177        |
| 9.3       | Testing the Methods by Using the First<br>Evaluative Criterion . . . . .                                                | 179        |
| 9.4       | Testing the Methods by Using the Second<br>Evaluative Criterion . . . . .                                               | 186        |
| 9.5       | Analysis of the Computational Results . . . . .                                                                         | 192        |
| 9.6       | Evaluating the TOPSIS Method . . . . .                                                                                  | 194        |
| 9.7       | Conclusions . . . . .                                                                                                   | 197        |
| <br>      |                                                                                                                         |            |
| <b>10</b> | <b>A Computational Evaluation of the Original<br/>and the Revised AHP</b> . . . . .                                     | <b>201</b> |
| 10.1      | Background Information . . . . .                                                                                        | 201        |
| 10.2      | An Extensive Numerical Example . . . . .                                                                                | 202        |
| 10.3      | Some Computational Experiments . . . . .                                                                                | 206        |
| 10.4      | Conclusions . . . . .                                                                                                   | 212        |
| <br>      |                                                                                                                         |            |
| <b>11</b> | <b>More Cases of Ranking Abnormalities When Some<br/>MCDM Methods Are Used</b> . . . . .                                | <b>213</b> |
| 11.1      | Background Information . . . . .                                                                                        | 213        |
| 11.2      | Ranking Irregularities When Alternatives Are<br>Compared Two at a Time . . . . .                                        | 215        |
| 11.3      | Ranking Irregularities When Alternatives Are<br>Compared Two at a Time and Also as a Group . . . . .                    | 220        |

|           |                                                                                       |            |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 11.4      | Some Computational Results . . . . .                                                  | 223        |
| 11.5      | A Multiplicative Version of the AHP . . . . .                                         | 228        |
| 11.6      | Results from Two Real Life Case Studies . . . . .                                     | 230        |
| 11.6.1    | Comparative Ranking Analysis of<br>the " <i>Bridge Evaluation</i> " Problem . . . . . | 230        |
| 11.6.2    | Comparative Ranking Analysis of<br>the " <i>Site Selection</i> " Problem . . . . .    | 232        |
| 11.7      | Conclusions . . . . .                                                                 | 233        |
| <b>12</b> | <b>Fuzzy Sets and Their Operations . . . . .</b>                                      | <b>235</b> |
| 12.1      | Background Information . . . . .                                                      | 235        |
| 12.2      | Fuzzy Operations . . . . .                                                            | 236        |
| 12.3      | Ranking of Fuzzy Numbers . . . . .                                                    | 238        |
| <b>13</b> | <b>Fuzzy Multi-Criteria Decision Making . . . . .</b>                                 | <b>241</b> |
| 13.1      | Background Information . . . . .                                                      | 241        |
| 13.2      | The Fuzzy WSM Method . . . . .                                                        | 242        |
| 13.3      | The Fuzzy WPM Method . . . . .                                                        | 244        |
| 13.4      | The Fuzzy AHP Method . . . . .                                                        | 245        |
| 13.5      | The Fuzzy Revised AHP Method . . . . .                                                | 247        |
| 13.6      | The Fuzzy TOPSIS Method . . . . .                                                     | 248        |
| 13.7      | Two Fuzzy Evaluative Criteria for<br>Fuzzy MCDM Methods . . . . .                     | 250        |
| 13.7.1    | Testing the Methods by Using the First<br>Fuzzy Evaluative Criterion . . . . .        | 251        |
| 13.7.2    | Testing the Methods by Using the Second<br>Fuzzy Evaluative Criterion . . . . .       | 255        |
| 13.8      | Computational Experiments . . . . .                                                   | 257        |
| 13.8.1    | Description of the Computational<br>Results . . . . .                                 | 258        |
| 13.8.2    | Analysis of the Computational<br>Results . . . . .                                    | 261        |
| 13.9      | Conclusions . . . . .                                                                 | 262        |
| <b>14</b> | <b>Conclusions and Discussion for Future Research . . . . .</b>                       | <b>263</b> |
| 14.1      | The Study of MCDM Methods:<br>Future Trends . . . . .                                 | 263        |
| 14.2      | Lessons Learned . . . . .                                                             | 263        |

|                         |            |
|-------------------------|------------|
| <b>References</b>       | <b>267</b> |
| <b>Subject Index</b>    | <b>275</b> |
| <b>Author Index</b>     | <b>283</b> |
| <b>About the Author</b> | <b>289</b> |