

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>PART I</b>	
<b>2. The macro-level of conventionalised language use: genre analysis</b>	<b>19</b>
2.1 Development of the concept of genre	19
2.2 Approaches to genre analysis	22
2.2.1 The new rhetoric group	22
2.2.2 The Sydney school	24
2.2.3 The ESP approach	25
2.3 Definitions of the fundamental concepts	26
2.3.1 The concept of genre	26
2.3.1.1 Defining genre	26
2.3.1.2 Defining relationships between genres	31
2.3.2 The concept of discourse community	36
2.4 The methodology of analysing genres	39
2.5 Genre analysis within EAP	44
2.5.1 The research article	44
2.5.1.1 Research article introductions	46
2.5.1.2 Textualizations of introduction moves	50
2.5.1.3 Research article conclusions	53
2.5.2 Student academic genres	58
2.6 Conclusion	64
<b>3. The micro-level of conventionalised language use: formulaic language</b>	<b>67</b>
3.1 The concept of formulaic language	68
3.2 The functions of formulaic sequences	70
3.2.1 Formulaic sequences as production strategies	71
3.2.2 Formulaic sequences as an aid to communication	72
3.3 Defining formulaic language	73
3.4 Methods of identifying formulaic sequences	76
3.5 Evidence of co-conventionalisation: formulaic sequences in specific environments in native use	80

3.6 Evidence of co-conventionalisation: formulaic sequences in learner language	84
3.6.1 Evidence from naturalistic child and adult second language learners	86
3.6.2 Evidence from taught second language learners	88
3.7 Conclusion	95
<b>4. Extended genre analysis: operationalising co-conventionalisation</b>	97
4.1 The rationale of extended genre analysis	97
4.2 The methodology of extended genre analysis	101

## **PART II**

<b>5. Extended genre analysis applied: the research design</b>	115
5.1 General background: providing instruction in EAP	116
5.2 Data	118
5.3 Setting and participants	120
5.3.1 Setting	120
5.3.2 Participants	121
5.3.2.1 Participants' background in EFL	121
5.3.2.2 Participants' background as academic writers	123
5.3.2.3 Participants' instruction in EAP writing	124
5.4 Methods of analysis	125
<b>6. Co-conventionalisation in student paper introductions</b>	129
6.1 Phase one: establishing a quantitative genre structure	130
6.1.1 Close analysis of individual moves	131
6.1.2 Close analysis of core moves	132
6.1.3 Close analysis of optional moves	139
6.2. Phase two: refining the quantitative structure through expert information	141
6.2.1 An example of a successful student paper introduction	141
6.2.2 Examples of unsuccessful student paper introductions	143
6.2.3 The revised model of student paper introductions	147
6.3 Points of comparison:	
native student and expert RA introductions	150
6.3.1 Comparison with native student introductions	150

6.3.2	Comparison with expert RA introductions	150
6.3.3	Genre relationships of student paper introductions	152
6.4	Linguistic characteristics of student paper introductions	153
6.4.1	Lexical and phraseological profile	153
6.4.2	Comparison with the lexical and phraseological profile of expert RA introductions	165
6.5	Move-by-move analysis of linguistic features	174
6.5.1	Realisations of the core move LEADING INTO THE TOPIC	174
6.5.2	Realisations of the core move STATING PURPOSE	175
6.5.3	Realisations of the core move PREVIEWING CONTENTS	180
6.6	Comparison of student and expert introductions: the use of genre-functional formulaic sequences	185
6.7	Summary: student paper introductions	188
<b>7.</b>	<b>Co-conventionalisation in student paper conclusions</b>	<b>191</b>
7.1	Phase one: establishing a quantitative genre structure	192
7.1.1	Close analysis of individual moves	193
7.1.2	Close analysis of core moves	193
7.1.3	Close analysis of optional moves	208
7.2	Phase two: refining the quantitative structure through expert information	212
7.2.1	Examples of successful student paper conclusions	212
7.2.2	Examples of unsuccessful student paper conclusions	215
7.2.3	The revised model of student paper conclusions	219
7.3	Points of comparison: native student and expert RA conclusions	221
7.3.1	Comparison with native student paper conclusions	221
7.3.2	Comparison with expert RA conclusions	223
7.4	Linguistic characteristics of student paper conclusions	227
7.4.1	Lexical and phraseological profile	228
7.4.2	Comparison with the lexical and phraseological profile of expert RA conclusions	233
7.5	Move-by-move analysis of linguistic features	242
7.5.1	Realisations of the move PROVIDING A SUMMARY STATEMENT/REVIEW	242

7.5.2	Realisations of the move	
	QUALIFYING AND EVALUATING THE PAPER/RESULTS	246
7.5.3	Realisations of the move	
	PROVIDING A WIDER OUTLOOK/EMBEDDING THE PAPER	248
7.5.4	Realisations of the optional move	
	PROVIDING A PERSONAL REFLECTION	249
7.6	Comparison of student and expert conclusions: the use of genre-functional formulaic sequences	250
7.7	Summary: student paper conclusions	253
<b>8.</b>	<b>The student perspective on academic writing</b>	257
8.1	Genre awareness of students	257
8.1.1	General views on academic writing	258
8.1.2	Student perceptions of individual genre-constituents: authors' objectives vs. observed structures	262
8.2	Learning to produce academic genres and genre-constituents	273
8.2.1	Student perspectives on the writing process	274
8.2.2	Students' use of writing resources	276
8.2.3	The effects of the genre-informed workshop	283
<b>9.</b>	<b>Findings and implications</b>	287
9.1	Summary of theoretical and methodological findings	287
9.2	Summary of results of the empirical study	291
9.3	Implications for teaching	296
	<b>References</b>	301
	<b>Appendices</b>	313
	Appendix 1: Student questionnaire	313
	Appendix 2: Keyword lists	319
	Appendix 3: Expert research articles used in the empirical study	333