

CONTENTS

| | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| <i>Preface</i> | xiii |
| <i>Acknowledgements</i> | xix |
| 1 Mixed Methods Research in Ethnography, Qualitative and Quantitative | 1 |
| <i>Ethnography in Earlier Centuries</i> | 2 |
| <i>Al-Biruni: A Remarkable Ethnographer 1000 Years Ago</i> | 3 |
| <i>Bernardino de Sahagun (1499–1590)</i> | 5 |
| <i>Applied Ethnographic Field Work, Cultural Patterns, and Research Methods</i> | 7 |
| <i>Applied Field Research: Many Disciplines, Many Players</i> | 8 |
| <i>The Histories of Quantitative and Qualitative Research Designs Are Different in Applied Field Research as Compared to Patterns of Study in Academic Disciplines</i> | 10 |
| <i>Disillusionment with Quantitative Surveys</i> | 11 |
| <i>The New Interest in “Mixed Methods” in the Social Sciences</i> | 12 |
| <i>Conclusions</i> | 14 |
| <i>References</i> | 16 |
| 2 Mixed Methods in Nineteenth-Century Ethnography | 18 |
| <i>A. J. Sjögren: The First Notable Finnish Linguist–Ethnographer</i> | 20 |
| <i>Mathias A. Castren</i> | 20 |
| <i>Other Nineteenth-Century Finnish Ethnographer–Linguists</i> | 24 |
| <i>Vladimir Bogoraz (Waldemar Bogoraz): Russian Ethnographer/Linguist/Revolutionary</i> | 27 |

| | | |
|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| | <i>Franz Boas: Promoter of Mixed Methods in North America</i> | 29 |
| | <i>Concluding Comments</i> | 35 |
| | <i>References</i> | 35 |
| 3 | The Developing Discourse of Mixed Methods Research | 37 |
| | <i>The QUAL versus QUAN Paradigm Debates</i> | 38 |
| | <i>Many Problems in the Current Mixed Methods “Paradigm Concepts”</i> | 42 |
| | <i>How Did Qualitative Data Gathering Gain Acceptance in Quantitative-Dominated Research Areas?</i> | 44 |
| | <i>A New Wave of Mixed Methods</i> | 45 |
| | <i>QUAN + qual, QUAL → quan: Let’s Get on with the Fun</i> | 46 |
| | <i>More Complex, Multiple Methods Mixtures (MMM)</i> | 47 |
| | <i>QUAL + quan and QUAL → quan Designs Are Very Common</i> | 48 |
| | <i>The Traditions of Publishing Make a Big Difference for Mixing of Methods</i> | 50 |
| | <i>Summary and Conclusions</i> | 51 |
| | <i>References</i> | 51 |
| 4 | Culture and Personality Studies: Plenty of Mixed Methods | 53 |
| | <i>Life in a Mexican Village: A Study by Oscar Lewis and Associates</i> | 55 |
| | <i>Personality Formation among the Navajo People</i> | 59 |
| | <i>Acculturation and Culture/Personality Studies</i> | 60 |
| | <i>Use of Projective Tests in Ethnographic Research</i> | 61 |
| | <i>The “Newer” Culture and Personality Studies</i> | 63 |
| | <i>The Instrumental Activities Inventory (Spindler and Spindler)</i> | 64 |
| | <i>Acculturation, Self-Identification and Personality Adjustment in an Inuit Village</i> | 66 |
| | <i>Analysis of the Data</i> | 67 |
| | <i>Farmers and Herdsmen in East Africa: Effects of Ecological Factors on Values, Attitudes, and Psychological Characteristics</i> | 68 |
| | <i>Quantitative Scale from “Full-Scale Farming to Full-Scale Herding”</i> | 70 |
| | <i>Discussion and Conclusions</i> | 70 |
| | <i>References</i> | 72 |
| 5 | Large Multi-Year, Multi-Site, Multi-Disciplinary Research Projects | 74 |
| | <i>The Hawthorne Study: Mixed Methods in an Early Applied Project</i> | 74 |
| | <i>Yankee City Series: Volume IV. “The Strike: A Social Analysis”</i> | 77 |
| | <i>The Big Strike</i> | 79 |

- The Great Mix of Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative* 82
The Ethnic Groups in Yankee City 83
 “Yankee City” in Historical Perspective: Criticisms and Credits 84
 “Public Health as a Career in Medicine”: Another Large-Scale Study 86
 Summary and Conclusions 89
 References 91
- 6 *Ecosystems Theory, Adaptation, and New Directions in Research* 92
An Early Applied Study of Upgrading Navajo Economy and Health 93
Massive Environmental Change: Ecosystem Effects of a Dam Project 96
 Commentary 100
Pigs for the Ancestors: A Focus on Ritual as Ecological Regulator 101
Quantitative Data 102
Qualitative Data: Ethnography of Ritual 102
Discussion and Criticism Sparked by Pigs for the Ancestors 104
Structural Change in Northern Thai Rice Villages 106
Sarmela’s English Language Summary 107
Focus on Agricultural Adaptations in Rural Mexico 109
 Discussion and Conclusions 111
 References 114
- 7 *Medical Anthropology and Mixed Methods Research* 115
Another Epidemic: Diphtheria Immunization in Thailand 117
Sorcery and Medicine in the Philippines 119
The Sociology of an Indian Hospital Ward 121
The Complex Varieties of Academic and Applied Medical Anthropology 123
Biological and Cultural Adaptations: The Ecological Framework 125
Anthropology and Mental Health: Psychosis in East Africa 126
Long-Term Multidisciplinary Research in Selected Study Populations 128
Multiple Research Methods in Complex Ethnography: West Africa 129
*An Unusual Category: Combining Ethnography and Quantitative
 Experiment* 131
Intervention: Development and Testing of a Model MCE Program 132
 Conclusion 134
 References 135
- 8 *QUAL + qual and QUAL → qual Studies: Common Practices in
 Ethnography* 137
Coming of Age in Samoa 1928: Margaret Mead’s Seminal Study 139
A Famous QUAL + QUAL → qual Study: Street Corner Society 142
Getting Started, Learning Field Research 142

| | | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | <i>Components of the Research Methods</i> | 143 |
| | <i>“Doc,” the Most Famous “Key Informant” in Field Research Literature</i> | 144 |
| | <i>Sub-Project: Mapping the Social Interactions at the Club</i> | 145 |
| | <i>Other Examples of Mixed Methods in QUAL Research: Mixed Methods in Linguistic Research</i> | 146 |
| | <i>QUAL → qual Triangulation</i> | 147 |
| | <i>James P. Spradley: “Grand Tour Interviews” and Other Special Methods</i> | 148 |
| | <i>Extensive Participation: Sometimes It Is a “Supplemental Method”</i> | 150 |
| | <i>Concluding Comments</i> | 151 |
| | <i>References</i> | 152 |
| 9 | <i>The Development of Quantitative Methods in Ethnographic Research</i> | 153 |
| | <i>British Ethnographers Started Doing Surveys Early in the Twentieth Century</i> | 154 |
| | <i>An “Experiment” with Survey Research Methods in Africa</i> | 157 |
| | <i>More Specialized Survey Research “Experiments”</i> | 159 |
| | <i>Small-Scale Surveys: Other Variations on the Survey Model(s)</i> | 161 |
| | <i>A Different Kind of Mixed Methods Research: Cultural Analysis of P-3 Pilot Error</i> | 161 |
| | <i>The Study of High Concordance Domains</i> | 164 |
| | <i>High versus Low Concordance Domains, and Sampling</i> | 165 |
| | <i>“Tight” and “Loose” Societies</i> | 167 |
| | <i>Cultural Consensus and Sample Sizes</i> | 168 |
| | <i>Cultural Data, Key Informants, and Concordance</i> | 169 |
| | <i>Summary and Conclusions</i> | 170 |
| | <i>References</i> | 172 |
| 10 | <i>Food, Diet, and Nutrition Studies</i> | 174 |
| | <i>Studies of Food Distribution and Dietary Behaviors</i> | 174 |
| | <i>Pilaga Food Distribution</i> | 176 |
| | <i>Diet and Hunger in Northern Rhodesia</i> | 178 |
| | <i>Hunger Months</i> | 180 |
| | <i>The Monograph as a Classic Mixed Methods Study of Food and Nutrition</i> | 181 |
| | <i>Diet in the Mexican Town of Tepoztlan</i> | 181 |
| | <i>A Diet and Nutrition Intervention in South Africa</i> | 183 |
| | <i>Nutritional Anthropology: Interdisciplinary Mixed Methods Research</i> | 186 |

- New Achievements in Mixed Methods Diet and Nutrition Studies* 187
- Research on Vitamin A Deficiency: A Multi-Site, Interdisciplinary Study* 192
- Hypothetical Scenarios for Getting Data on Night-Blindness and Other Symptoms* 193
- Multi-Year, Multi-Disciplinary Mixed Methods Study in Central Mexico* 194
- Major Finding: “Small Is Not Healthy and Happy”* 196
- Summary and Conclusions* 197
- References* 198
- 11 *The Rise of Community Studies and Ecological Theory: Paradigm Transitions in Finland* 200
- Hilma N. Granqvist: Ethnographic Study of a Palestinian Village, 1925–1931* 201
- Research in Lapland: Kalle Nickul’s “Discovery” of the Skolt Saami Community* 204
- Mapping and Other Mixed Methods Research in Suenjel (Kola Peninsula)* 205
- Kai Donner: Another “Early Modern” Contributor to Paradigm Change* 210
- Community Studies and Ecological Frameworks: Later Trends in the Twentieth Century* 211
- Adaptive Strategies in the Åland Islands* 213
- Community Studies and Ecological Theory in More Recent Finnish Ethnographic Research* 214
- Concluding Discussion* 215
- References* 215
- 12 *My Explorations in QUAL + quan Research Methodology* 218
- Doctoral Dissertation Research in Finnish Lapland* 218
- The Upper Mississippi Research Project* 222
- Thinking about Research Methods* 224
- Thinking about Different Kinds of Societies* 225
- The Snowmobile Revolution: Technology and Social Change* 226
- Technology and Delocalization* 228
- Notes on Methodology* 230
- Varieties of Delocalization: Food and Diet* 233
- Conclusions: More Recent Developments* 235
- References* 236

| | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 13 | Triangulation and Descriptive Expansion: The Uses of Mixed Methods in Ethnographic Research | 238 |
| | <i>Exploring “Descriptive Expansion” and Related Purposes of Mixed Methods Designs</i> | 239 |
| | <i>Understanding the Concept of Triangulation in Mixed Methods Research</i> | 241 |
| | <i>Broader Definitions of “Triangulation”</i> | 244 |
| | <i>Triangulation Studies for Assessing Informant Accuracy</i> | 245 |
| | <i>Key Informant Reliability: Another Look at Interview Data</i> | 248 |
| | <i>Triangulation and Informant Precision</i> | 249 |
| | <i>Comparing Individual In-Depth Interviews and Group Discussion Methods</i> | 251 |
| | <i>Triangulation and Mixed Methods Research in Action</i> | 252 |
| | <i>Triangulation with Multiple Quantified Data-Gathering Methods: Alaska</i> | 253 |
| | <i>Triangulation at the Level of Theory and Data Analysis</i> | 255 |
| | <i>Informal Triangulation in Ethnographic Field Research</i> | 257 |
| | <i>A Loose Use of the Triangulation Concept in Ethnographic Research</i> | 259 |
| | <i>Triangulation in Qualitative Ethnographic Research: A Case of “Investigator Triangulation”</i> | 260 |
| | <i>Triangulation Is Only One of Several Mixed Methods Research Categories</i> | 262 |
| | <i>Criticisms and Critiques of Triangulation in the Social Sciences</i> | 262 |
| | <i>Summary and Conclusions</i> | 264 |
| | <i>The Basic Lesson</i> | 265 |
| | <i>References</i> | 265 |
| 14 | Two Decades of Mixed Methods in South Asia | 268 |
| | <i>The Technical Assistance Program: “Building Social Science Capacity for Research on Women’s Health in India”</i> | 270 |
| | <i>QUAL-QUAN Mixed Methods Research Tools</i> | 272 |
| | <i>Mixing Qualitative and Quantitative Data Was Already Common in South Asia</i> | 273 |
| | <i>Developing a Manual for Field Training and Guidelines for Data Gathering and Analysis</i> | 274 |
| | <i>Other Developments in the Mid-1990s</i> | 274 |
| | <i>Implementing a Reproductive Health Agenda in India: The Beginning</i> | 276 |
| | <i>Reproductive Health in India: New Evidence</i> | 277 |
| | <i>Ethnographic Field Research in South Asia</i> | 278 |
| | <i>Women’s Perceptions of White Vaginal Discharge: Ethnographic Data from Rural Maharashtra</i> | 279 |

- Evaluation of a Rural Community Health Program* 280
- Women's Work and Child Health* 281
- Ethnographic Study of Sex Workers in a Red Light District in Kolkata* 282
- Examples of Mixed Methods Research in Bangladesh* 283
- The HIV/AIDS Pandemic and Mixed Methods Research* 285
- HIV/AIDS and the Twenty-First Century in South Asia: Our Book of Research Results* 286
- Examples of Research on Sexual Behavior during the Technical Assistance Program* 287
- Other Noteworthy Studies in This Collection* 290
- Men's Sexual Health Concerns in Mumbai* 291
- Women's Reproductive Health in the Time of AIDS* 293
- Continued Research in Issues around Pregnancy, Childbirth, Abortion, and other Reproductive Health Matters* 294
- Summary: Mixed Methods Research in South Asia* 295
- References* 298
- 15 Two "Histories" of Mixed Methods Research 301
- Mixed Methods in Ethnographic Research: Early Times* 302
- New Pathways to Mixed Methods in the Twentieth Century* 303
- Multi-Disciplinary and Large-Scale Projects Often Led to Mixed Methods* 305
- Interdisciplinary Research Teams: A Different Pathway to MM* 306
- Mixed Methods in Health Research* 307
- Ethnographic Publications Are Often in Books or Large Printed Reports* 308
- Conclusions on the Long, Complex History of Mixed Methods in Applied Ethnographic Studies and Related Fields* 309
- Quantitative Research Approaches Have Often Been Inductive* 310
- The "Other History" of Mixed Methods and the Recent Spread of Interest in Qualitative Ethnographic Research* 311
- New Explorations in Qualitative Research Methods, Especially in Developing Country Programs* 313
- Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA) and Rapid Rural Appraisal (RRA)* 315
- Focused Ethnographic Studies (FES)* 316
- Other Influences Favoring Qualitative Data Approaches and Mixed Methods* 317
- Influences from the Computer Revolution* 318

xii Contents

The Big Picture: Mixed Methods Approaches Are Essential Because Each Individual Method Has Weaknesses. But There Is More: There Are Multiple Sectors of Data in the Real World 320

Concluding Note 322

References 323

Index

325