

Patterns of social interaction and organisation in irrigated agriculture : the case of the Chao Phraya Delta

François Molle

Nittaya Ngemprasertsan

Savakon Sudcawasdi

Chatthorn Chompadist



DORAS CENTER

Kasetsart University

IRD

Research funded by the European Union (INCO)

Contents

1	<i>Introduction</i>	13
2	<i>Social and cultural background</i>	15
2.1	On Thai social structure	15
2.1.1	The “loose structure” and other paradigms	15
2.1.2	Patterns of inter-personal interactions	20
2.1.2.1	Family and kindred	20
2.1.2.2	Labour exchange	21
2.1.2.3	Patron-client relationships and other dyadic contractual relationships	22
2.1.3	Patterns of collective action	25
2.1.3.1	Structural regularities and motivations	25
2.1.3.2	Emerging forms of collective action	27
2.1.3.3	Leadership	30
2.1.4	The village: reality or construction ?	32
2.1.4.1	The ‘natural community’ and the village	32
2.1.4.2	Communities and administrative boundaries	34
2.2	Local power structure and decentralisation	37
2.2.1	State Authority	37
2.2.2	Power derived from local recognition	42
2.2.3	People Power	43
2.2.4	Summary on local power structure	45
2.3	Conflict resolution	48
2.3.1	The Kamphaengsaen Operation and Maintenance Project	48
2.3.1.1	Solving the problems of the injured parties	49
2.3.1.2	Role of the local government authorities in managing the conflict	49
2.3.1.3	Observations, Comments	50
2.3.2	Tha Maka Operation and Maintenance Project	51
2.3.2.1	Competition between canal head-enders and tail-enders	51
2.3.2.2	Approaches to solving the problem of competition for water among farmers	51
2.3.2.3	Approaches to resolving disputes of RID officers	52
2.3.2.4	Parties involved in resolving disputes	53
2.3.2.5	Effects of disputes	54
2.3.3	The Don Chedi Operation and Maintenance Project	54
2.3.3.1	Background	54
2.3.3.2	Issue	54
2.3.3.3	Observations	55
2.3.4	Thai culture power structure and in resolving disputes	56
2.3.4.1	Thai culture and conflict resolution	56
2.3.4.2	Government administration culture and conflict resolution	57
2.3.5	Summary on conflict resolution	59

3 Water management: water user groups and social arrangements	61
3.1 Case study 1: Don Chedi Project; Arrangements for water management at the local level	61
3.1.1 Background	61
3.1.1.1 Layout and agriculture.....	61
3.1.1.2 Administrative units	62
3.1.2 General data on water management in the Project	65
3.1.2.1 Management at the Khlong MoHo level	65
3.1.2.2 Management at the Project level	68
3.1.3 Arrangements among farmers, at the lateral level.....	70
3.1.3.1 Management of supply along the laterals	70
3.1.3.2 Distribution at the ditch and plot level	74
3.1.4 Differences between laterals	75
3.1.5 Discussion	77
3.1.5.1 Stakeholders and their respective roles	78
3.1.5.2 Flexibility and effectiveness of collective arrangements.....	79
3.1.5.3 Benefits and constraints of collective organisation	80
3.2 Case study 2: Borommathad Project; Setting of rotations and other arrangements	84
3.2.1 Physical setting and dry-season water allocation	84
3.2.2 Lateral/ditch management and access to water	86
3.2.3 Rotations in the 1997 and 1998 dry-seasons	88
3.2.4 Lessons learnt	89
3.2.4.1 Hierarchy and authority.....	89
3.2.4.2 Perception of equity.....	90
3.2.4.3 Sustainability of the tested rotations.....	91
3.3 Case study 3: Roeng Rang Project; What are Water User Cooperatives useful for ?	92
3.3.1 Introduction	92
3.3.2 Layout and agro-ecological zoning	92
3.3.2.1 Location of Roeng Rang Project	92
3.3.2.2 Layout of the study area	93
3.3.2.3 Administrative setting	94
3.3.2.4 Agro-ecology of the study area	94
3.3.3 Brief account on farming systems	96
3.3.3.1 Family structure and occupation.....	96
3.3.3.2 Land use and tenure.....	96
3.3.3.3 Equipment	97
3.3.3.4 Input, marketing	97
3.3.4 Dry-season planning and distribution.....	97
3.3.4.1 Planning process.....	97
3.3.4.2 Physical layout and constraints.....	99
3.3.4.3 In-season water management	99
3.3.4.4 Problems, conflicts and way to solve them	100
3.3.5 The water user co-operative	101
3.3.5.1 Membership and attendance to meetings.....	101
3.3.5.2 Leadership in the WUC	103
3.3.5.3 The WUC in the socio-political context.....	105

3.3.5.4	Usefulness of the WUC	107
3.3.5.5	The WUC and other canals.....	109
3.3.5.6	Perception of equity.....	109
3.3.6	Lessons learned and conclusions.....	110
3.3.6.1	Actors and interplay	110
3.3.6.2	The WUC and water management.....	112
3.4	Case study 4: Maharat Project; Water User Groups in <i>amphoe</i> Phromburi	114
3.4.1	Physical and administrative setting	114
3.4.2	Conditions of water use and management.....	115
3.4.3	The Water User Group	117
3.4.3.1	Farmers and groups	117
3.4.3.2	RID and the WUG	119
4	<i>The failure of the Water User Groups</i>	121
4.1	Past policy for farmers organisations	121
4.2	Accounting for an announced failure	122
4.2.1	Individualism and acceptance of inequity	123
4.2.2	Leadership.....	125
4.2.3	Spatial definition of groups	126
4.2.4	Social cohesion within the wider agrarian change	127
4.2.5	Role in system maintenance	129
4.2.6	Role in collecting fees.....	129
4.2.7	Role in production and marketing.....	130
4.2.8	Role in managing water: the ditch (tertiary) level	130
4.2.9	Role in managing water: the lateral (secondary) level	132
4.2.10	Relationships between farmers and RID.....	132
4.2.11	Conclusion on WUOs failure.....	134
4.3	Scope for the establishment of groups	135
4.3.1	Working hypothesis	135
4.3.2	Some criteria from the literature	135
4.3.3	Difficulties to be faced by a reform of the irrigation sector	138
4.3.3.1	Difficulties at the farmers' level	138
4.3.3.2	Difficulties at the RID's level	140
4.3.3.3	Difficulties at the political and institutional level.....	141
5	<i>Conclusions</i>	143
6	<i>References</i>	147