

Geosimulation

Automata-based modeling
of urban phenomena

Itzhak Benenson Paul M. Torrens



 WILEY

Contents

Preface	xiii
Acknowledgements	xvii
1 Introduction to Urban Geosimulation	1
1.1 A New Wave of Urban Geographic Models is Coming.	1
1.2 Defining Urban Geosimulation	2
1.2.1 Geosimulation Reflects the Object Nature of Urban Systems ..	2
1.2.2 Characteristics of the Geosimulation Model	3
1.2.2.1 Management of Spatial Entities	3
1.2.2.2 Management of Spatial Relationships	3
1.2.2.3 Management of Time	3
1.2.2.4 Direct Modeling	4
1.3 Automata as a Basis for Geosimulation	4
1.3.1 Cellular Automata	5
1.3.2 Multiagent Systems	6
1.3.3 Automata Systems as a Basis for Urban Simulation.	8
1.3.3.1 Decentralization	9
1.3.3.2 Specifying Necessary and Only Necessary Details ...	9
1.3.3.3 Diversity of Characteristics and Behavior	10
1.3.3.4 Form and Function Come Together.	10
1.3.3.5 Simplicity and Intuition.	10
1.3.4 Geosimulation versus Microsimulation and Artificial Life. ...	11
1.4 High-resolution GIS as a Driving Force of Geosimulation	12
1.4.1 GI Science, Spatial Analysis, and GIS	12
1.4.2 Remote Sensing.	12
1.4.3 Infrastructure GIS	13
1.4.4 GIS of Population Census.	13
1.4.5 Generating Synthetic Data	16
1.5 The Origins of Support for Geosimulation	16
1.5.1 Developments in Mathematics.	17
1.5.2 Developments in Computer Science.	17
1.6 Geosimulation of Complex Adaptive Systems	18
1.7 Book Layout	18
2 Formalizing Geosimulation with Geographic Automata Systems (GAS)	21
2.1 Cellular Automata and Multiagent Systems—Unitel.	21
2.1.1 The Limitations of CA and MAS for Urban Applications. ...	21
2.1.2 The Need for Truly Geographic Representations in Automata Models	24

2.2	Geographic Automata Systems (GAS)	25
2.2.1	Definitions of Geographic Automata Systems	25
2.2.1.1	Geographic Automata Types	26
2.2.1.2	Geographic Automata States and State Transition Rules	27
2.2.1.3	Geographic Automata Spatial Referencing and Migration Rules	28
2.2.1.4	Geographic Automata Neighbors and Neighborhood Rules	30
2.2.2	GAS as an Extension of Geographic Information Systems	31
2.2.2.1	GAS as an Extension of the Vector Model	31
2.2.2.2	GAS and Raster Models	31
2.3	GAS as a Tool for Modeling Complex Adaptive Systems	32
2.4	From GAS to Software Environments for Urban Modeling	32
2.4.1	Object-Oriented Programming as a Computational Paradigm for GAS	32
2.4.2	From an Object-Based Paradigm for Geosimulation Software	33
2.4.3	GAS Simulation Environments as Temporally Enabled OODBMS	34
2.4.4	Temporal Dimension of GAS	34
2.5	Object-Based Environment for Urban Simulation (OBEUS)—A Minimal Implementation of GAS	35
2.5.1	Abstract Classes of OBEUS	35
2.5.2	Management of Time	37
2.5.3	Management of Relationships	38
2.5.4	Implementing System Theory Demands	39
2.5.5	Miscellaneous, but Important, Details	40
2.6	Verifying GAS Models	40
2.6.1	Establishing Initial and Boundary Conditions	41
2.6.2	Establishing the Parameters of a Geosimulation Model	42
2.6.3	Testing the Sensitivity of Geosimulation Models	44
2.7	Universality of GAS	44
3	System Theory, Geography, and Urban Modeling	47
3.1	The Basic Notions of System Theory	47
3.1.1	The Basics of System Dynamics	48
3.1.1.1	Differential and Difference Equations as Standard Tools for Presenting System Dynamics	48
3.1.1.2	General Solutions of Linear Differential or Difference Equations	49
3.1.1.3	Equilibrium Solutions of Nonlinear Systems, and Their Stability	51
3.1.1.4	Fast and Slow Processes and Variables	52
3.1.1.5	The Logistic Equation—The Simplest Nonlinear Dynamic System	53
3.1.1.6	Spatial Processes and Diffusion Equations	54

3.1.2	When a System Becomes a “Complex” System	57
3.1.2.1	How Nonlinearity Works	58
3.1.2.2	How Openness Works	62
3.2	The 1960s, Geography Meets System Theory	73
3.2.1	Location Theory: Studies of the Equilibrium City	73
3.2.2	Pittsburgh as an Equilibrium Metropolis	74
3.2.3	The Moment Before Dynamic Modeling	77
3.2.4	Models of Innovation Diffusion—The Forerunner of Geosimulation	77
3.3	Stocks and Flows Urban Modeling	79
3.3.1	Forrester’s Model of Urban Dynamics	79
3.3.1.1	Computer Simulation as a Tool for Studying Complex Systems	79
3.3.1.2	Forrester’s Results and the Critique They Attracted	79
3.3.2	Regional Models: the Mainstream of the 1960s and 1970s	81
3.3.2.1	Aggregated Models of Urban Phenomena	82
3.3.2.2	Stocks and Flows Integrated Regional Models	83
3.4	Criticisms of Comprehensive Modeling	87
3.4.1	List of Sins	87
3.4.2	Keep it Simple!	88
3.5	What Next? Geosimulation of Collective Dynamics!	88
3.5.1	Following Trends of General Systems Science	88
3.5.2	Revolution in Urban Data	89
3.5.3	From General System Theory to Geosimulation	90
4	Modeling Urban Land-use with Cellular Automata	91
4.1	Introduction	91
4.2	Cellular Automata as a Framework for Modeling Complex Spatial Systems	93
4.2.1	The Invention of CA	93
4.2.1.1	Formal Definition of CA	93
4.2.1.2	Cellular Automata as a Model of the Computer	95
4.2.1.3	Turing Machine	95
4.2.1.4	Neuron Networks	96
4.2.1.5	Self-reproducing Machines and Computational Universality	97
4.2.1.6	Feedbacks in Neuron Networks and Excitable Media	97
4.2.1.7	Markov Processes and Markov Fields	98
4.2.1.8	Early Investigations of CA	99
4.2.2	CA and Complex Systems Theory	100
4.2.2.1	The Game of Life—A Complex System Governed by Simple Rules	100
4.2.2.2	Patterns of CA Dynamics	101
4.2.3	Variations of Classic CA	105
4.2.3.1	Variations in Grid Geometry and Neighborhood Relationships	105
4.2.3.2	Synchronous and Asynchronous CA	105

4.3	Urban Cellular Automata	106
4.3.1	Introduction	106
4.3.2	Raster but not Cellular Automata Models	107
4.3.3	The Beginning of Urban Cellular Automata	113
4.3.4	Constrained Cellular Automata	116
4.3.5	Fuzzy Urbanization	121
4.3.6	Urbanization Potential as a Self-existing Characteristic of a Cell.	122
4.3.6.1	From Monocentric to Polycentric City Representations	123
4.3.6.2	Real-World Applications of Potential-Based Models	126
4.3.7	Urbanization as a Diffusion Process	131
4.3.7.1	Spatial Ecology of the Population of Urban Cells.	132
4.3.7.2	Spread of Urban Spatial Patterns	133
4.3.8	From Fixed Cells to Varying Urban Entities	137
4.3.8.1	Infrastructure Objects as Self-existing Urban Entities	137
4.3.8.2	Changing Urban Partition	138
4.4	From Markov Models to Urban Cellular Automata	140
4.4.1	From Remotely Sensed Images to Markov Models of Land-use Change	142
4.4.2	The Link Between Markov and Cellular Automata Models.	144
4.5	Integration of CA and Markov Approaches at a Regional Level	146
4.5.1	Flat Merging of Markov and CA Models	147
4.5.2	Hierarchy of Inter-regional Distribution and CA Allocation.	150
4.6	Conclusions.	150
5	Modeling Urban Dynamics with Multiagent Systems.	153
5.1	Introduction	153
5.2	MAS as a Tool for Modeling Complex Human-driven Systems	154
5.2.1	Agents as “Intellectual” Automata	154
5.2.2	Multiagent Systems as Collections of Bounded Agents	154
5.2.3	Why do we Need Agents in Urban Models?	155
5.3	Interpreting Agency	155
5.4	Urban Agents, Urban Agency, and Multiagent Cities	158
5.4.1	Urban Agents as Entities in Space and Time.	158
5.4.2	Cities and Multiagent System Geography	160
5.5	Agent Behavior in Urban Environments	160
5.5.1	Location and Migration Behavior	161
5.5.2	Utility Functions and Choice Heuristics	162
5.5.3	Rational Decision-making and Bounded Rationality.	163
5.5.4	Formalization of Bounded Rationality	165
5.5.5	What we do Know About Behavior of Urban Agents—The Example of Households	170

5.5.5.1	Factors that Influence Household Preferences	170
5.5.5.2	Householder Choice Behavior	172
5.5.5.3	Stress-resistance Hypotheses of Household Residential Behavior	172
5.5.5.4	From Householder Choice to Residential Dynamics	173
5.5.5.5	New Data Sources for Agent-Based Residential Models	175
5.6	General Models of Agents' Collectives in Urban Interpretation	176
5.6.1	Diffusion-limited Aggregation of Developers' Efforts	177
5.6.2	Percolation of the Developers' Efforts	178
5.6.3	Intermittency of Local Development	180
5.6.4	Spatiodemographic Processes and Diffusion of Innovation	182
5.7	Abstract MAS Models of Urban Phenomena	184
5.7.1	Adaptive Fixed Agents as Voters or Adopters of Innovation	184
5.7.2	Locally Migrating Social Agents	190
5.7.2.1	Schelling Social Agents	190
5.7.2.2	Random Walkers and Externalization of Agents' Influence	193
5.7.3	Agents That Utilize the Entire Urban Space	195
5.7.3.1	Residential Segregation in the City	195
5.7.3.2	Adapting Householder Agents	199
5.7.3.3	Patterns of Firms	205
5.7.4	Agents That Never Stop	205
5.7.4.1	Pedestrians on Pavements	208
5.7.4.2	Depopulating Rooms	213
5.7.4.3	Cars on Roads	216
5.7.5	Multi-type MAS—Firms and Customers	220
5.8	Real-world Agent-based Simulations of Urban Phenomena	224
5.8.1	Developers and Their Work in the City	224
5.8.2	Pedestrians Take a Walk	227
5.8.3	Cars in Urban Traffic	230
5.8.4	Citizens Vote for Land-use Change	233
5.8.5	In Search of an Apartment in the City	237
5.9	MAS Models as Planning and Assessment Tools	244
5.10	Conclusions	248
6	Finale: Epistemology of Geosimulation	251
6.1	Universal Questions	251
6.1.1	Social Phenomena are Repeatable	252
6.1.2	We are Interested in Urban Changes During Time Intervals Derived from Those of a Human Lifespan	252
6.1.3	Urban Systems are Unique because They are Driven by Social Forces	253
6.1.4	The Uniqueness of Urban Systems is not Necessarily Exhibited	253
6.1.5	Why do we Hope to Understand Urban Systems?	253

6.1.6	Tight-coupling between the Urban Theory and Urban Data	254
6.1.7	Automata versus State Equations	255
6.2	The Future of Geosimulation.	255
6.2.1	The Applied Power of Geosimulation.	255
6.2.2	The Theoretical Focus of Geosimulation.	256
6.2.3	From Modeling of Urban Phenomena to Models of a City: Integration Based on a Hierarchy of Models. . . .	256
6.2.4	From Stand-alone Models to Sharing Code and Geosimulation Language.	257
Bibliography		259
Index		283