



# TERRAIN ANALYSIS

*Principles and Applications*

*Edited by*  
John P. Wilson  
John C. Gallant

# CONTENTS

---

<b>Preface</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>xix</b>
<b>List of Contributors</b>	<b>xxiii</b>
<b>1. Digital Terrain Analysis</b>	<b>1</b>
<i>by John P. Wilson and John C. Gallant</i>	
1.1 Principles and Applications	1
1.1.1 Digital Elevation Data Sources and Structures	3
1.1.2 Calculation and Use of Topographic Attributes in Hydrological, Geomorphological, and Biological Applications	5
1.1.3 Identification and Treatment of Error and Uncertainty	15
1.2 The Purpose of This Book	20
1.3 Overview	22
1.3.1 Digital Terrain Analysis Methods	22
1.3.2 Hydrological Applications	24
1.3.3 Geomorphological Applications	25
1.3.4 Biological Applications	26
<b>2. Digital Elevation Models and Representation of Terrain Shape</b>	<b>29</b>
<i>by Michael F. Hutchinson and John C. Gallant</i>	
2.1 Introduction	29
2.2 Sources of Topographic Data	32
2.2.1 Surface-Specific Point Elevation Data	32
2.2.2 Contour and Stream-Line Data	32
2.2.3 Remotely Sensed Elevation Data	33
2.2.4 Scales of Source Topographic Data	34
2.3 DEM Interpolation Methods	34
2.3.1 Triangulation	35
2.3.2 Local Surface Patches	35
2.3.3 Locally Adaptive Gridding	36
2.4 Filtering of Remotely Sensed Gridded DEMs	37
	<b>vii</b>

2.5	Quality Assessment of DEMs	38
2.5.1	Spurious Sinks and Drainage Analysis	38
2.5.2	Views of Shaded Relief and Other Terrain Attributes	39
2.5.3	Derived Elevation Contours	39
2.5.4	Frequency Histograms of Primary Terrain Attributes	39
2.6	Optimization of DEM Resolution	39
2.7	Interpolation of the Cottonwood DEM Using ANUDEM	41
2.7.1	Specification of ANUDEM Options	43
2.7.2	Elevation Units and Vertical Precision	43
2.8	Assessment of Resolution and Quality of the Cottonwood DEM	44
2.8.1	Optimization of Resolution Using the Root Mean Square Slope Criterion	44
2.8.2	Comparison of Data Contours With Derived Contours	45
2.8.3	Views of Slope and Profile Curvature	45
2.8.4	Histograms of Elevation and Aspect	46
2.8.5	Summary Recommendation	49
2.9	Conclusions	49
<b>3.</b>	<b>Primary Topographic Attributes</b>	<b>51</b>
	<i>by John C. Gallant and John P. Wilson</i>	
3.1	TAPES-G: Terrain Analysis on Gridded DEMs	51
3.1.1	Surface Derivatives	51
3.1.2	Slope	53
3.1.3	Aspect and Primary Flow Direction	54
3.1.4	Curvature	56
3.1.5	Upslope Contributing Area and Specific Catchment Area	58
3.1.6	Flow Width	69
3.1.7	Maximum Flow-Path Length	70
3.1.8	Downslope Attributes	70
3.1.9	Upslope Averages of Terrain Attributes	71
3.1.10	Other Terrain Attributes	71
3.1.11	Inputs	71
3.1.12	Outputs	72
3.2	Elevation Residual Analysis	73
3.2.1	Mean Elevation	74
3.2.2	Difference From Mean Elevation	74
3.2.3	Standard Deviation of Elevation	74
3.2.4	Elevation Range	75
3.2.5	Deviation From Mean Elevation	75
3.2.6	Percentile	75
3.2.7	Other Attributes	75
3.2.8	ELEVRESIDGRID Examples	76

3.3	TAPES-C: Terrain Analysis on Contour DEMs	77
3.3.1	Element Construction	79
3.3.2	Computed Terrain Attributes	82
3.3.3	Differences Between TAPES-C and TOPOG Element Networks	83
3.3.4	Inputs	84
3.3.5	Outputs	85
3.4	Conclusions	85
<b>4.</b>	<b>Secondary Topographic Attributes</b>	<b>87</b>
	<i>by John P. Wilson and John C. Gallant</i>	
4.1	Introduction	87
4.2	EROS	88
4.2.1	Estimation Methods	88
4.2.2	Inputs	90
4.2.3	Outputs	91
4.3	SRAD	91
4.3.1	Estimation Methods	91
4.3.2	Inputs	100
4.3.3	Outputs	106
4.4	WET	106
4.4.1	Estimation Methods	107
4.4.2	Inputs	110
4.4.3	Outputs	113
4.5	DYNWET-G	113
4.5.1	Estimation Methods	115
4.5.2	Inputs	117
4.5.3	Outputs	118
4.6	Sample Application	118
4.7	Conclusions	131
<b>5.</b>	<b>Effect of Data Source, Grid Resolution, and Flow-Routing Method on Computed Topographic Attributes</b>	<b>133</b>
	<i>by John P. Wilson, Philip L. Repetto, and Robert D. Snyder</i>	
5.1	Introduction	133
5.2	Squaw Creek, Montana Sensitivity Analysis	135
5.2.1	Methods and Data Sources	135
5.2.2	Results and Discussion	137
5.3	Idaho Farm Field Model Validation Field Experiment	150
5.3.1	Methods and Data Sources	152
5.3.2	Results and Discussion	155
5.4	Conclusions	160

<b>6. Spatial Analysis of Soil-Moisture Deficit and Potential Soil Loss in the Elbe River Basin</b>	<b>163</b>
<i>by Valentina Krysanova, Dirk-Ingmar Müller-Wohlfeil, Wolfgang Cramer, and Alfred Becker</i>	
6.1 Introduction	163
6.1.1 Background	163
6.1.2 Study Objectives and Approach	165
6.1.3 The Study Region	166
6.2 Freshwater Availability	166
6.2.1 Method	166
6.2.2 Large-Scale Applications of Topography-Based Models	168
6.2.3 Application in the Elbe Basin	170
6.2.4 Results and Comparisons With Previous Studies	172
6.3 Erosion	177
6.3.1 GIS-Based Approaches for the Analysis of Pollutant Yield in Large Basins	177
6.3.2 Methods and Results	178
6.4 Conclusions	178
<b>7. Mapping Contributing Areas for Stormwater Discharge to Streams Using Terrain Analysis</b>	<b>183</b>
<i>by Jeremy S. Fried, Daniel G. Brown, Mark O. Zweifler, and Michael A. Gold</i>	
7.1 Introduction	183
7.1.1 Implications of Sediment for Water Quality	185
7.1.2 Sediment Management With Riparian Buffer Strips	185
7.1.3 Hydrological Principles	185
7.2 Description of Study Area	188
7.3 Methods	188
7.3.1 GIS Database and Terrain Model Creation	188
7.3.2 Generation of Terrain-Analysis Indices	190
7.3.3 Formulation of Investigative Buffer Model	191
7.3.4 Collection of Validation Data	192
7.4 Results	193
7.4.1 Comparison of Indices	194
7.4.2 Comparison of Variable-Width Investigative Buffers	196
7.4.3 Concordance With Validation Data Set	198
7.5 Discussion	200
7.6 Conclusions	203
<b>8. Soil-Moisture Modeling in Humid Mountainous Landscapes</b>	<b>205</b>
<i>by J. Alan Yeakley, George M. Hornberger, Wayne T. Swank, Paul V. Bolstad, and James M. Vose</i>	
8.1 Introduction	205
8.2 Study Site	206

8.3	Modeling Approach	207
8.3.1	Terrain Analysis	207
8.3.2	Canopy Interception Modeling	210
8.3.3	Hillslope Hydrology Model	210
8.4	Parameterization and Calibration	212
8.4.1	Structural Parameters	212
8.4.2	Above-Ground Parameters	213
8.4.3	Below-Ground Parameters	215
8.4.4	Storm-Scale Calibration	216
8.5	Validation	217
8.5.1	Storm Scale	217
8.5.1.2	Soil-Moisture Response	218
8.5.2	Seasonal Scale	219
8.5.3	Model Comparisons	220
8.6	Discussion	221
8.7	Conclusions	223
<b>9.</b>	<b>Stochastic Analysis of a Coupled Surface/Subsurface Hydrologic Model</b>	<b>225</b>
	<i>by Gregory M. Pohl and John J. Warwick</i>	
9.1	Introduction	225
9.1.1	Statement of the Problem	225
9.1.2	Review of Existing Coupled Modeling Approaches	226
9.1.3	Stochastic Model	227
9.1.4	Study Site	227
9.2	Description of Numerical Models	229
9.2.1	Vadose Zone Model	229
9.2.2	Coupled Surface/Subsurface Model	231
9.2.3	Stochastic Model	235
9.3	Simulation Results	237
9.3.1	Coupled Model Calibration	237
9.3.2	Model Comparison (Vadose Zone Versus Coupled Model)	238
9.3.3	Long-Term Simulation Comparison of Stochastic Structures and the Vadose Zone Model	240
9.4	Discussion and Conclusions	241
9.4.1	Coupled Surface/Subsurface Model	241
9.4.2	Coupled Surface/Subsurface Model Versus Vadose Zone Model	243
9.4.3	Stochastic Model	244
<b>10.</b>	<b>The Role of Terrain Analysis in Soil Mapping</b>	<b>245</b>
	<i>by Neil J. McKenzie, Paul E. Gessler, Philip J. Ryan, and Deborah O'Connell</i>	
10.1	The Potential of Terrain Analysis	245

10.2	Theories of Pedogenesis and Modeling for Prediction	246
10.2.1	The Functional Factorial Approach	246
10.2.2	Contemporary Views	247
10.2.3	Environmental Change in Ancient Landscapes	248
10.2.4	The Role of Landform	250
10.3	Examples of the Use of Terrain Analysis in Australian Soil Survey Research	251
10.3.1	Improved Environmental Information	254
10.3.2	Explicit Survey Design	256
10.3.3	Quantitative Spatial Prediction	259
10.4	Factors Affecting the Utility of Terrain Analysis	263
10.4.1	Landscape Complexity	263
10.4.2	Issues of Scale	263
10.4.3	Technology	264
10.4.4	Quantitative Versus Intuitive Mental Models	265
10.5	Conclusions	265
<b>11.</b>	<b>Automated Landform Classification Methods for Soil-Landscape Studies</b>	<b>267</b>
	<i>by Stephen J. Ventura and Barbara J. Irvin</i>	
11.1	Introduction	267
11.2	Role of Landform Classification in Modern Soil Survey and Soil-Landscape Studies	268
11.3	Pleasant Valley Study	274
11.4	Methods	275
11.4.1	Calculation of Topographic Attributes From a DEM	277
11.4.2	ISODATA Unsupervised Classification	281
11.4.3	Continuous Classification Overview	282
11.5	Results and Discussion	286
11.6	Conclusions	290
<b>12.</b>	<b>A Soil-Terrain Model for Estimating Spatial Patterns of Soil Organic Carbon</b>	<b>295</b>
	<i>by Jay C. Bell, David F. Grigal, and Peter C. Bates</i>	
12.1	Introduction	295
12.2	Methods	297
12.2.1	Study Site	297
12.2.2	Field Sampling	298
12.2.3	Laboratory Methods	299
12.2.4	Spatial Data	299
12.2.5	Spatial Modeling	301
12.3	Results and Discussion	302
12.3.1	Mineral Soils	303

12.3.2	Peatlands	304
12.3.3	Estimating Spatial Patterns of SOC	305
12.4	Conclusions	309
<b>13.</b>	<b>Shallow Landslide Delineation for Steep Forest Watersheds Based on Topographic Attributes and Probability Analysis</b>	<b>311</b>
	<i>by Jinfan Duan and Gordon E. Grant</i>	
13.1	Introduction	311
13.2	Study Area Description	313
13.3	Methods and Data Sources	315
13.3.1	Infinite Slope Model	316
13.3.2	Parameter Estimation From Probability Analysis	317
13.3.3	Effect of Vegetation	319
13.3.4	Terrain Analysis and Climate Data Acquisition	320
13.3.5	Monte Carlo Simulation and Probability Derivation	323
13.4	Results and Discussion	324
13.5	Implications for Management and Future Modeling	328
13.6	Conclusions	329
<b>14</b>	<b>Terrain Variables Used for Predictive Mapping of Vegetation Communities in Southern California</b>	<b>331</b>
	<i>by Janet Franklin, Paul McCullough, and Curtis Gray</i>	
14.1	Introduction	331
14.1.1	Species Distributions and Environmental Gradients	332
14.1.2	Ecological Field Data in Geographical Databases	332
14.1.3	Error in Digital Elevation Models	333
14.1.4	Modeling Methods	333
14.2	Case Studies	334
14.2.1	Study Area	335
14.2.2	Materials and Methods	335
14.2.3	Results	346
14.2.4	Discussion	347
14.3	Conclusions	352
<b>15.</b>	<b>Automated Land Cover Mapping Using Landsat Thematic Mapper Images and Topographic Attributes</b>	<b>355</b>
	<i>by Jonathan M. Wheatley, John P. Wilson, Roland L. Redmond, Zhenkui Ma, and Jeff DiBenedetto</i>	
15.1	Introduction	355
15.2	Description of Study Area	358
15.3	Methods and Data Sources	362
15.3.1	<i>Landsat</i> TM Image Classification	362
15.3.2	Digital Elevation Models	363



15.3.3	Terrain Analysis	364
15.3.4	Ground-Truth Data	366
15.3.5	Performance Evaluation	366
15.4	Results	367
15.4.1	Terrain Attribute Maps	367
15.4.2	Land Cover Classification Without Topographic Attributes	370
15.4.3	Adding Topographic Attributes	377
15.4.4	Use of Stream Buffers to Delineate Riparian and Upland Cover Classes	377
15.4.5	Evaluation of Land Cover Maps	381
15.5	Discussion	384
15.6	Conclusions	389
<b>16.</b>	<b>Toward a Spatial Model of Boreal Forest Ecosystems: The Role of Digital Terrain Analysis</b>	<b>391</b>
	<i>by Brendan G. Mackey, Ian C. Mullen, Kenneth A. Baldwin, John C. Gallant, Richard A. Sims, and Daniel W. McKenney</i>	
16.1	Introduction	391
16.1.1	The Niche Hypothesis	392
16.1.2	The Disturbance Hypothesis	393
16.2	The Study Area	394
16.3	Why Digital Terrain Analysis?	396
16.4	Methods	397
16.4.1	Ecology of the Target Tree Species	398
16.4.2	Data Sources	399
16.4.3	Analytical Techniques	403
16.5	Results	409
16.5.1	AMF Analyses	409
16.5.2	Domain Analyses	410
16.6	Discussion	415
16.6.1	The Domain Hypothesis	420
16.7	Conclusions	421
<b>17.</b>	<b>Future Directions for Terrain Analysis</b>	<b>423</b>
	<i>by John C. Gallant, Michael F. Hutchinson, and John P. Wilson</i>	
17.1	Introduction	423
17.2	Methodology and Data	423
17.3	Knowledge of Relationships	425
17.4	Scaling	426
17.5	Concluding Remarks	426
	<b>References</b>	<b>429</b>
	<b>Index</b>	<b>469</b>